



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.            | FILIN      | IG DATE    | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|----------------------------|------------|------------|----------------------|---------------------|-----------------|
| 10/798,005                 | 03/11/2004 |            | Jurgen Jolly         | BE-124              | 5172            |
| . 7:                       | 590        | 03/01/2005 |                      | EXAM                | INER            |
| Friedrich Kue<br>Suite 910 | ffner      |            | ALI, MOHAMMAD M      |                     |                 |
| 317 Madison A              | venue      |            | ART UNIT             | PAPER NUMBER        |                 |
| New York, NY 10017         |            |            |                      | 3744                |                 |

DATE MAILED: 03/01/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|  | Application No.   | Applicant(s)   |
|--|---|--|
|  | 10/798,005  | JOLLY, JURGEN  |
| Office Action Summary  | Examiner  | Art Unit   |
|  | Mohammad Ali  | 3744   |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply  | pears on the cover sheet with the o   | correspondence address '   |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.  after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin  earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir<br>ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day<br>will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from<br>e, cause the application to become ABANDONE | nety filed  /s will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ID (35 U.S.C. § 133). |
| Status   |   |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 A  | <u> March 2004</u> .  |  |
|  | s action is non-final.  |  |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowated closed in accordance with the practice under a   |   |  |
| Disposition of Claims  |   |  |
| 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or  | awn from consideration.   | •  |
| Application Papers   | ·   |  |
| <ul> <li>9) The specification is objected to by the Examin</li> <li>10) The drawing(s) filed on 11 March 2004 is/are:</li> <li>Applicant may not request that any objection to the</li> </ul>  | a) accepted or b) bodiected of  |  |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct   | ction is required if the drawing(s) is ob   | pjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).   |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119   |   |  |
| 12) △ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) △ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. △ Certified copies of the priority documen 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documen 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list  | its have been received.<br>Its have been received in Applicat<br>Drity documents have been receiv<br>Bu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).   | ion No ed in this National Stage   |
| Attachment(s)  | _   |  |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  | 4) Interview Summar<br>Paper No(s)/Mail D   |  |
| <ol> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>   |   | Patent Application (PTO-152)   |

Application/Control Number: 10/798,005

Art Unit: 3744

## Drawings

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the "undercut" as claimed in claim 9 must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filling date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the

Art Unit: 3744

art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Page 3

Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. The claim element "undercut" was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States

Claims 1 and 3-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kuroda et al., (4,958,500). Kuroda et al., disclose an air conditioner and air conditioning method for a room comprising a flat cooling element 10 arranged within a wall 21 of a room. See Fig. 1, 17 and column 2, lines 36-44.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all . obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/798,005

Art Unit: 3744

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 2, 5-8, 10 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kuroda et al., in view of Jolly (DE 10004772 A1). Kuroda et al., disclose the invention substantially as claimed as stated above. However, Kuroda et al., do not disclose a ceiling mounted cooler. Jolly teaches the use of a ceiling mounted cooler with screen mat (See Fig. 1 and 3 and the enclosed translated basic abstract) in a cooling system of a room for the purpose of conditioning the room. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the air conditioning and air conditioning method of Kuroda et al., in view of Jolly such that ceiling mounted cooler could be provided in order to condition the room.

Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kuroda et al., in view of Jolly (DE 10004772 A1) as applied claim 11 above and further in view of Ito (JP 360029595 A). Kuroda et al., in view of Jolly disclose the invention substantially as claimed as stated above. However, Kuroda et al., in view of Jolly do not disclose protective film. Ito teaches the use protective film over cooling pipe for the purpose of protecting the pipe (See the translated title and the abstract). Therefore, it

Application/Control Number: 10/798,005 Page 5

Art Unit: 3744

would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the air conditioning and air conditioning method of Kuroda et al., in view of Jolly and further in view of Ito such that protective film could be provided in order to protect the cooling pipe.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mohammad Ali whose telephone number is 703-308-5032. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Esquivel Denise can be reached on 703-308-2597. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic .

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

///d.///dhsm-Ali Mohammad M. Ali February 23, 2005